COMMITTEE ON ARMED SERVICES

MILITARY PROCUREMENT, CHAIRMAN MILITARY STATISHESS

SPECIAL OVERSIGHT PANEL ON MERCHANT MARINE SPECIAL OVERSIGHT PANEL ON TERRORISM

COMMITTEE ON SCIENCE

RESEARCH

ENVIRONMENT, TECHNOLOGY AND STANDARDS

CO-CHAIRMAN:

CONGRESSIONAL FINE SERVICES CALICUS

DUMA-CONGRESS STUDY GROUP

GLOBE OCEAN PROTECTION TASK FORCE

CONGRESSIONAL DIABETES CAUCUS

CURT WELDON 7TH DISTRICT, PENNESYLVANIA

7466 RAYDURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING WASHINGTON, DC 20515-3807 12021 225-2011

> 1554 GARRETT ROAD UPPER DARBY, PA 19082 (610) 253-0700

E-mail: curtpa07 ⊈ mail,house.gov ww.house.gov/curtweldon

MIGRATORY SIRD CONSERVATION COMMISSION REPUBLICAN POLICY COMMITTEE



Congress of the United States

House of Representatives

Washington, **DC** 20515-3807 June 12, 2003

LUCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Chairman Michael K. Powell Commissioner Kathleen G. Abernathy Commissioner Michael J. Copps Commissioner Kevin J. Martin Commissioner Jonathan S. Adelstein Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554

Re: In the Matter of Application of Global Crossing Ltd and GC Acquisition Limited for Consent to Transfer Control to Singapore Technologies Telemedia Pte Ltd- IB Docket No. 02-286

Dear Chairman Powell and Commissioners:

The proposal by Singapore Technologies to purchase Global Crossing has raised some concerns with me and my colleagues. Although as Co-Chairman of the Singapore Caucus, I strongly support improving our relations with Singapore, any time a company with ties to a foreign government seeks to purchase assets as vital as telecommunications facilities in the United States, it is essential that the proposal be reviewed with the strictest of scrutiny. As Vice Chair of the House Armed Services Committee, my principal interest is in the national security implications of such an acquisition.

Of paramount importance to me are the criteria for such a review, both through the CFIUS process as well as at the Federal Communications Commission, and specifically the measures that the Commission may take to assure that the public interest is protected. In particular, I am interested in learning how the Commission will evaluate this proposal to determine whether it is in the public interest and the types of safeguards that the Commission might implement to assure that the integrity of telecommunications infrastructure in the United States is preserved.

I look forward to a response from the Commission by June 30, 2003.

CURT WELDON

Member of Congress